

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,828	07/25/2001	Dara Ung	20-484	6307
7590 04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC 7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-3307			BARNIE, REXFORD N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			mo			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/911,828	UNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	REXFORD N BARNIE	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>ıly 2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			ORD BARNIE RY EXAMINER			
Attachment(s)		HIMA				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal P		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/911,828

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by PRIOR ART

Regarding claim 1, The Prior Art teaches the claimed subject matter in (see page 4 line 19- 31 of applicant's disclosure).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/911,828

Art Unit: 2643

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over PRIOR ART in view of Hudson (US Pat# 6,249,573) or Laybourn et al. (US Pat# 6,480,710).

Regarding claim 2-3, The PRIOR ART fails to teach using an updated rate when debiting an account during usage which would translate to available time balance based on rate. Hudson teaches a method of time to talk calculation wherein an updated charge rate can be used to determine amount of time associated with one's prepaid in a given time period in (see disclosure).

Laybourn et al. teaches a system and method for managing prepaid wireless service in (see col. 1 line 50-col. 2 line 3, col. 3 lines 6-18, col. 6) wherein a prepaid account can be debited on updated rate information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Laybourn or Hudson into that of Prior art thus making it possible to provide copmpetitive rates to user at certain times to attract customers and from the point of a service provider controlling usage rates based on factors such as holidays, traffic and so forth.

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 7, The PRIOR ART fails to teach using an updated rate when debiting an account during usage which would translate to available time balance based on rate. Hudson teaches a method of time to talk calculation wherein an updated charge rate can be used to determine amount of time associated with one's prepaid in a given time period in (see disclosure).

Laybourn et al. teaches a system and method for managing prepaid wireless service in (see col. 1 line 50-col. 2 line 3, col. 3 lines 6-18, col. 6) wherein a prepaid account can be debited on updated rate information.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Laybourn or Hudson into that of Prior art thus making it possible to provide copmpetitive rates to user at certain times to attract customers and from the point of a service provider controlling usage rates based on factors such as holidays, traffic and so forth.

Regarding claims 6 and 8, see the explanation as set forth regarding claims 4-5.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **REXFORD N BARNIE** whose telephone number is (703)306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2643

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER REXFORD BARNIE 03/16/2004

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER